



Training Workshop: Hands-on Training on Tracking NDC Mitigation Commitments under the Paris Agreement

Botswana Gaborone 31 July -2 August 2024

Tracking progress of NDC: Mitigation assessment and elements of mitigation tracking

Sheila Kiconco Anglophone Africa Network Coordinator CBIT-GSP



Funded by: Implemented by:







INTRA-ACP GCCA+ PROGRAMME An initiative of the ACP Group of States funded by the European Union's European Development Fund



MITIGATION

Mitigation contributes to the objective of stabilization of GHG concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system by promoting efforts to reduce or limit GHG emissions or to enhance GHG sequestration.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)

Future annual CO2 emissions in next decades





Mitigation

The identification of mitigation measures, policies, actions, and plans that can be formulated and implemented is a key part of Party reporting to the UNFCCC, established

- First under the UNFCCC, later under the Kyoto Protocol, and now under the Paris Agreement and its Enhanced Transparency Framework (ETF).
- Parties need to identify the type of actions, policies, plans, and measures that can contribute to the amount of GHG available in the atmosphere, their associated objectives, and the co-benefits (i.e., economic or social benefits) expected from their implementation.
- Parties need to report on envisaged steps to achieve envisaged reductions.
- This includes measures that may still need to be implemented.





The Cycle of Assessment of Mitigation Actions



An illustrative example of a design and implementation cycle for mitigation actions





Identifying Best Mitigation Options

Mitigation measures that are in line with and support the development priorities of a country will likely

be more successful and effective

۲

Greenhouse gas effects

- Significance of emissions impact (t CO2e)
- Cost-effectiveness (e.g. marginal abatement cost).



Sustainable development effects

- Consistency with national development plans and goals
- Social and macroeconomic impact
 - (employment, trade etc)
- Environmental impacts (e.g, biodiversity, air quality etc.)
- Equity (differential impacts on income groups



Other considerations

- Feasibility, including institutional capacity Replicability (adaptability to
- different settings);
- Technology transfer.





Selection and coverage of mitigation initiatives for assessment

Choose which mitigation initiatives to report

- Establish common criteria for evaluating initiatives
 - o the GHG emissions profile,
 - o national development priorities, and
 - $\circ~$ the policy context of the initiative of interest.

Select the mitigation initiatives for assessment and reporting,

- identify a sub-set of key mitigation initiatives that can be easily reported instead of identifying the entire set of mitigation initiatives undertaken in a country.
- Sub-sets that have a more significant and observable impact on GHG emissions reductions in sectors of relevance or key categories in the National GHG inventories.



This is also echoed in the ETF's MPGs.



Mitigation Potential

Common Understanding:

"The term 'potential' is used to report the quantity of GHG mitigation compared with a baseline or reference case that can be achieved by a mitigation option over a given period" (Halsnaes et al., 2007)

Potential is usually expressed as megatonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (Mt CO_2e) of avoided emissions per given time frame (e.g. year, 5-year period, etc.)

Mitigation contributes to the objective of stabilization of GHG concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system by promoting efforts to reduce or limit GHG emissions or to enhance GHG sequestration.

Halsnæs K et al. 2007. Framing Issues. In: Climate Change 2007: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.





Understand highest potentials

The GHG inventory and observed trends can provide a good insight into where mitigation potential is high. Areas that might become important in the future

This gives a good first indication of where the highest potentials can be found to start a 'long-list' of possible mitigation measures.





Uganda's Total GHG Emissions by sector from 2000-2019



Narrow Down The List



The number of possible mitigation actions may be large



a) The assessment of the individual possible mitigation actions provides insights into:

i) The possible mitigation potential and cost of actions;

ii) Expected sustainable development benefits of actions;

Some Parties may choose not to prioritize and select actions but instead to assess and report the full set of mitigation actions that have been identified;

- Maximize opportunities for support and to demonstrate the full representation



b) The subsequent selection of mitigation actions then provides further clarity on: iii) Expected effects (GHG emissions, sustainable development benefits);

iv) Feasibility of implementation (capacity, funding, technology, politics).





Steps for GHG assessment of mitigation actions



Assess uncertainty

effects





Assessing mitigation policies, measures, actions and plans under the ETF

Data	Type of analysis	Associated action	Timeline	Results	ΤοοΙ	Indicators, metrics
Assumptions	Ex-Ante	Assessing	Future situation	Likely impact	Projections	Qualitative, Quantitativ
Models, and Tools Baselines and Scenarios Mitigation potential	Ex-Post	Tracking	ongoing or past situation	Actual impact	Progress of implementatio n	Baseline





Data needs for assessing mitigation policies, measures, actions and plans under the ETF

Collecting good-quality data is paramount for Improve data transparent and valuable Prioritize data Collect data quality over time collection efforts mitigation assessments. Typical cycle of data management to perform Select data Fill data gaps mitigation assessments:

Adapted from WRI. Policy and Action Standard (2014).





Helpful sources of data and assumptions for assessing mitigation

- GHG inventories and prior national communications
- Energy statistics and energy balances
- National economic and demographic statistics
 and surveys
- Planning reports from utilities
- Relevant studies (e.g. low carbon scenarios, renewable energy assessments).
- International data and studies can help fill data gaps.
- Develop consistent energy use and emissions accounts for the base year (and, if relevant, other historical years).



- Strategies & strategic documents
- Policies & legal frameworks
- Programmes, projects & activities





Supplementary instruments to implement mitigation

For a successful implementation of mitigation actions, policies, and measures it may be required the development of a regulatory framework to allow or facilitate its implementation, the staff in charge of its implementation to be adequately trained, and the awareness of different stakeholders towards issues related to the action to be raised.

Source: adapted from CGE Supplementary training material for the team of technical experts. Module 2.1: mitigation actions and their effects (2015).







Selection and coverage of mitigation initiatives for assessment and reporting

- To choose which mitigation initiatives to report on, it is useful to establish common criteria for the evaluation of initiatives. These could include, for instance, GHG emissions profile, national development priorities, and the policy context of the initiative of interest.
- When selecting the mitigation initiatives for assessment and reporting, it is more important to identify a sub-set of key mitigation initiatives that can be easily reported instead of identifying the entire set of mitigation initiatives undertaken in a country.
- When choosing these subsets, it is also important to identify those initiatives that have a more significant and observable impact on GHG emissions reductions in sectors of relevance, or



key categories in the National GHG inventories. This is also echoed in the MPGs of the ETF.

Different stages in the different phases of the mitigation process

Before implementation

- Choose among mitigation options based on their expected GHG effects.
- Improve the design of measures by understanding the GHG effects of different design choices.
- Understand potential GHG reductions from options to inform GHG reduction goals.
- Report on expected future GHG effects of measures being considered or implemented (for domestic or international purposes).
- Attract and facilitate financial support for mitigation actions.

After implementation

- Understand whether measures are effective in delivering the intended results.
- Inform and improve implementation.
- Decide whether to continue current activities or implement additional measures.
- Learn from experience and share best practices.
- Evaluate the contribution of measures toward the NDC.
- Ensure that policies and actions are cost-effective and that limited resources are invested efficiently.
- Report on the GHG effects of measures over time.
- Meet funder requirements to report GHG reductions from mitigation actions.





Multiple benefits of mitigation assessment



United Nations Climate Change Secretariat

International reporting

 Meeting reporting requirements under the UNFCCC



National policy-making

- Providing policy-makers a robust basis for decisions
- Enhance understanding of available options and associated GHG results, cost and benefits
- Enable tracking of effectiveness of measures to facilitate corrective measures and gain acceptance



Financing of measures

- Prioritization of support
- Demonstrate potential to funders and investors
- Enable MRV of projects and programs
- Build trust





Overview of steps for Parties to track the progress of their NDCs by indicators







Data needs for assessing mitigation under the ETF

National Communication	Biennial Update Report	Biennial Transparency Report
General description of steps		
taken or envisaged to implement the Convention	Mitigation action and their effects	Tracking progress
 Mitigation Measures 	 Mitigation action and their effects 	 NDC description NDC Progress Mitigation policies and measures Projections





Reporting Format

In NCs

 No format requirements – at the discretion of the Party

In **BURs**

Tabular format

Segapore that mer sur 2020 pieloge below 2020 BAU' levels, Building or has also enhanced our 2020 MOC to all MICCL sig in 2020 after peaking i	of milliology entities on the 16%. our 2009 preside, Singapore instante entities en la present sur entitaliters author.
255	2 Som
Calling Street	
A CONTRACTOR OF STATE	
Providence and a second	
	A second se
	And the second s
Example of the second s	An example of the second secon
	A second se
	An and a second
	A second
A second	A second
	A series of the
<section-header><section-header><section-header><text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header>	And the second s
A second	A new results of the second se

-	Gerte.		-	VIEWEE	-		-	COL Support on		
1. Herocold Control of Nation National Patronistics Biorry (201	-	Institute On, while of electricity general decal from On Sci 2015 of boal electricity generalised by 2016	GW1 s1 also biology performance (frant) Re	Calculated Asian TVML of a catching space-order recently available to catching the subscription of damaging factors	Pipercela RC tol generativel strad Solg in der The Albert aber Komgelbent apperch Piper (RACPORT 1)	National Encountries winking to Cautor solities mord and repringeration	12.201 (MHz all der Wille som prosenter Barrowski disersionistics, eller prosenter byskrationer in 2018	214	549	24)
i forariy househouse has foracity house and house house	m	Instant On other of elast-stry generation (non- tiff to 20% of total contractly generation by 20%	Office of electricity percention than have we establish arrange	function from the test of each fully generation (1973) for community production good antisent-by toxy	Popular RE14 generated circles/stay arrive AEEP2015	Ric is sould singe minder storen, fogst erdnam	20, VML 12VM+ of decision was presented from transmission, toops and mass = 2018	1.30	240	1511
1 that the sectors bar change have to be properties before	rai,	Contrast File anter of Fault production from RE to 20-205 of fault contrast by protein	tion of worl protocology bars RE	Col- laters from time of huge generation that RE motion for CO, original factors of huge particulation function instruction function	Proveda Ricca generated from acides JACORDER	Henti i al la representate antengi er chattes intan Brannet	C-2 into of rank mis presented from ode transmit energy in 2210		-0.03	620
d. Made Statementson August B. Annual and Reality Barrows and Reality	.ce.	Increase the settle of faces and a first faces fills at \$2.51% of from discussion by \$255	Har of helt generation leave hist or could proofs	Gen saver from that of how prevailing from bits or weather energy real-plied to 00 where the two of real per excites from the new decising index to	Process RE13 spectra divertante Approprio	Hari Fara Ko en malar energy adaler Henery Industri Henery	(1979) Status of Feast area processed Divers Interests, Strappe, and arease in 2010	11.44	940×	89

In **BTRs**

Narrative <u>AND</u> tabular format

Organised by sector:

- Energy
- Transport
- Industrial processes and product use
- Agriculture
- LULUCF
- Waste management
- Other





Reporting requirements on mitigation in NCs and BTRs





Additionally in CTF table 5 (extra flexibility in reporting)

- Estimate of achieved GHG impacts
- Estimate of expected GHG impacts

Optional reporting ('may')

Cost

Also in CTF

table 5

- Non-GHG mitigation benefits
- Interaction of measures





Overview of options to present information in the BTRs

	Tab		
Narrative	Individual design	CTF tables	Graphic
 Suited to provide context Enables more 	 Can provide a structured summary of information 	 Enables comparability across Parties 	 Makes information and data easier to understand
 detailed explanations Allows the 	 Allows to link different elements of information that 	 Provides comprehensive information (with 	 Enables direct visual understanding of trends or
description of connections and	are in different CTF tables	flexibility)	relationships
interactions	 Enables to add additional relevant information in a structured manner 		





Table 4.1: Mitigation Action 1 – Energy Industries Sector

Tuble 4121 Hittigati										
Name of the mitigation action	Status	Implementing institution	Duration	Sector and subsector	Scope	Quantitative targets (both GHG-related and non-GHG impacts)	GHGs covered			
Accelerating the transformational shift to a low-carbon economy in the Republic of Mauritius	Under implementation	Ministry of Finance Economic Planning and Development - Government of Mauritius	2017-2025	Energy - Electricity Generation	National	Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions of 4.27 million tCO2e over the lifetimes of the investments enabled	CO₂, CH₄, N₂O			
	Objective of the mitig	gation action								
	The project will provide the enabling environment for the scaling up of renewable energy in Mauritius thereby bringing the transformational									
	change advocated by the Green Climate Fund (GCF). The project will curtail both the regulatory and infrastructural barriers for a paradigm shift in power generation in Mauritius.									
	Brief description and	activities planned under the	mitigation actio	n						
	The project is being in	nplemented in two phases with	th the following	three components:						
	Component 1: Institutional strengthening for renewable energy (Phase 1)									
	Component	2: Improving grid absorption of	apacity followe	d by PV deployment (P	hase 1 & 2)					
	Component	3: PV mini-grids on the Outer	Island of Agaleg	a (Phase 2)						
	The principal outcome of Component 1 will be the emergence of a strengthened institutional and regulatory system for renewable energy i.e., of the Mauritius Renewable Energy Agency (MARENA), which will directly facilitate the implementation of Component 2. By the end of Component 1 (2021), the Government will have the required legal texts, systems and institutional capability to effectively manage the evolution and growth of the renewable energy sector.									
	With the assistance of the GCF project, Central Electricity Board (CEB) will acquire and install equipment to absorb 185 MW of intermittent renewable energy into the grid without jeopardising the grid stability. Currently, 4MW of Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) has already been commissioned for the reinforcement of the grid. Another 14 MW is under implementation and will be commissioned in the third									
	quarter of 2021.									
	Under the GCF Phase 2 project, 25MW of solar PV system will be installed as follows:									
	i. households supplying 10 MW;									
	ii. public buildings 11 MW; and									
	iii. NGOs 4 MW.									
	Estimated outcomes	and estimated emissi <u>on redu</u>	ctions							
,										





Which mitigation actions need to be reported in BTRs?

- Those that have "the most significant impact on GHG emissions or removals"
- Those that impact key categories in the national inventory
- Mitigation co-benefits of adaptation actions, if included in the NDC
- Actions that influence international transport

To reduce the workload and ensure efficiency of reporting, the same mitigation actions should be reported in the NC and the BTR. The BTR will need to be more detailed (see details in module E).





Thank you for your attention!

Sheila KICONCO| sheila.kiconco@un.org CBIT-GSP UNEP CCC







INTRA-ACP GCCA+ PROGRAMME An initiative of the ACP Group of States funded by the European Union's European Development Fund